Clinical Reasoning: Drug Resistant Epilepsy in a 61-Year-Old Man With Abnormal MRI Brain Findings and Management With Vagal Nerve Stimulator

Jigar Prakashchandra Mankad, MD, and Janki Kiran Lavingia, MD

Neurology[®] 2023;100:1111-1116. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000206890

Correspondence Dr. Mankad mjigar2021@gmail.com

Abstract

A 66-year-old man with seizures that started at 61 years eventually developed drug-resistant epilepsy and was managed with medications and vagal nerve stimulation. The patient had a convulsive event at 61 years, followed by recurrent events of confusion and speech arrest lasting 30-120 seconds. He underwent gadolinium-enhanced brain MRI and angiogram, which revealed pial enhancement in the right occipital, parietal, and posterior temporal regions with subcortical atrophy. CSF findings were unremarkable. Continuous video EEG showed electroclinical correlation for his episodes of confusion and speech arrest with recurrent brief runs of rhythmic delta from the right temporal region with evolution and spread to the entire right hemisphere. The patient tried multiple antiseizure medications including valproic acid, topiramate, phenytoin, carbamazepine, levetiracetam, brivaracetam, and lamotrigine without success. He was eventually put on a combination of lacosamide, zonisamide, clonazepam, and primidone, which helped to a certain extent, but the patient continued to have daily episodes and 10-12 electroclinical seizures noted on a follow-up 24-hour ambulatory EEG. Follow-up brain MRI with contrast confirmed the diagnosis. Phase II intracranial monitoring for surgical management was offered to the patient, which he deferred because of risks. Vagal nerve stimulator (VNS) was also offered as a palliative therapy to which the patient agreed. Gradual titration in VNS settings over 1 year helped to achieve seizure freedom. Presentation of focal seizure with this type of atypical etiology is rare. Typically, surgical management is used to achieve seizure freedom in this condition; successful management with VNS has not been reported so often.

From the Department of Neurology (J.P.M.), Health1 Super Specialty Hospitals; and Department of Neurology (J.K.L.), Zydus Hospital, Ahmedabad, India. Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

A 66-year-old left-handed man initially presented to a neurology clinic for evaluation and management of intractable seizures. His first seizure was a generalized convulsive seizure at 61 years. Following this, he started experiencing recurrent episodes of feeling "spacy" and confused with speech arrest. These episodes would last for approximately 30 seconds to 2 minutes, almost every day according to his family, especially with stress or tiredness. These episodes compromised his daily routine with impairment in his ability to give speeches at church. Neurologic examination was normal, except that mild executive dysfunction was noted, but short- and long-term memory was intact. His neurologic examination, including cranial nerves, sensorimotor, coordination, gait, and reflexes, was normal. Skin examination was normal. His head CT and brain MRI with and without contrast showed leptomeningeal/pial enhancement involving the right occipital, inferior parietal, and posterior temporal lobes with associated volume loss (Figure 1, A–D). These findings were unchanged when compared with previous 5 annual MRI scans. He also had routine EEG and CSF analysis, which were unremarkable. Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) confirmed the brain MRI findings with evidence of leptomeningeal angiomatosis, no aneurysm, and no arteriovenous malformation.

Questions for Consideration:

- 1. What is the diagnosis based on the clinical features and investigations?
- 2. What are the common features and time line for the diagnosis of this condition?
- 3. How frequently do patients experience epilepsy with this condition and what is the expected outcome?

Figure 1 MRI and CT Images



GO TO SECTION 2

1112 Neurology | Volume 100, Number 23 | June 6, 2023

Neurology.org/N

The aforementioned MRI and MRA findings were suggestive of a rare variant of type III Sturge-Weber syndrome (SWS) with isolated pial angiomatosis. SWS is a neurocutaneous syndrome with typical triad of port wine facial nevus in trigeminal distribution, leptomeningeal angiomatosis ipsilateral to the facial nevus, and glaucoma.² The incidence of this condition is 1 in 5,000 live-births. SWS is further classified as type I with typical triad, type II when facial angioma is present without CNS involvement, and type III with exclusive leptomeningeal angioma with absent cutaneous findings.² Diagnosis of type III SWS requires brain MRI and MRA with and without contrast. Physicians must have a high index of clinical suspicion.¹¹ Common symptoms are seizures (75%–90%), intellectual disability and developmental delay (50%-75%), hemiplegia (40%-45%), headache (40%-60%), glaucoma (30%-70%), hemianopsia (40%-45%), and hemiparesis (25%-60%).^{10,11} It is commonly diagnosed in neonates and rarely found later in life. There are only few case reports describing the diagnosis of this syndrome in the fifth and sixth decades of life.⁹ Patients with seizure freedom more than 6 months at a time are considered to have a good seizure control, which is noted in 60%-70% of patients on antiseizure medications.³

Questions for Consideration:

- 1. What is the line of treatment for a patient with drugresistant epilepsy with this condition?
- 2. What should be the ideal time for referring patients to the epileptologist for further workup?

GO TO SECTION 3

Patients who continue to have frequent seizures (typically more than once a month) despite treatment with ≥ 2 well-tolerated and adequately dosed antiseizure medications are considered to have drug-resistant epilepsy. These patients require further evaluation by an epileptologist and possibly an admission to the epilepsy monitoring unit. Among the use of antiseizure medications, carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine are usually the first choice. Topiramate and levetiracetam are good choices for second agents.³ Patients with drug-resistant epilepsy in SWS have undergone surgical options such as lobectomy, hemispherectomy, and corpus callosotomy.^{10,11} Patients who undergo early surgery have the potential to achieve seizure freedom and significant reduction postoperatively.¹⁰ Presurgical workup requires neuropsychology assessment for memory evaluation and language lateralization. Patients with drug-resistant epilepsy benefit from further discussions at a comprehensive epilepsy conference to seek opinion from different providers including a neuroradiologist, neuropsychologist, and neurosurgeon.

Questions for Consideration:

- 1. What happened to this patient?
- 2. Why was the VNS considered in our patient?

GO TO SECTION 4

Our patient tried multiple antiseizure medications including valproic acid (caused weight gain and poor efficacy after 4 months), topiramate (cognitive side effects after 3 weeks), phenytoin and carbamazepine (not effective despite optimal titration at the end of 2 months), levetiracetam (mood problems in 1 month), brivaracetam (mood problems in 2 months), and lamotrigine (intolerance after 4 weeks), but had no success in achieving acceptable seizure control. Our patient was referred to an epileptologist who suggested admission to the epilepsy monitoring unit. The patient had 2-day video EEG monitoring, which captured over 20 brief focal electroclinical seizures characterized by rhythmic 2-3 Hz delta frequency activity arising from the right temporal region (F8-T4 derivations) with evolution to higher amplitude rhythmic delta along with spread to involve the entire right hemisphere before abrupt cessation (Figure 2, A–C). These episodes were associated with speech arrest, paraphasic errors, and confusion noted on examination. Because he had failed multiple antiseizure medications, he was discharged and prescribed a combination of lacosamide, zonisamide,

Figure 2 EEG Images

clonazepam, and primidone. Primidone was mainly used for his essential tremors but also has weak antiseizure activity. The patient had a follow-up 24-hour ambulatory EEG after 2 months, which captured 10-12 brief focal electroclinical and electrographic seizures, and he was unaware of most of the events, but the family identified speech arrest during few of these events. He was offered presurgical workup, which included neuropsychological assessment. This found minimal deficits in language and executive functioning but overall short- and long-term memory was intact. He did not have any motor deficits except average performance in grip strength in his nondominant (right) hand. The patient was offered phase II intracranial EEG monitoring using stereo-EEG; however, given the extent of the right hemispheric lesion (pial angiomatosis) and well-preserved neurologic function as well as the potential risks associated with intracranial surgery (e.g., vision deficits, weakness, sensory impairment, and infection), he opted against epilepsy surgery. His case was discussed in the epilepsy surgical conference, and a decision was made to proceed with a palliative option of vagal nerve stimulator (VNS, Sentiva 1000) implantation. The patient was counseled on potential complications of



(A) Onset of low-amplitude rhythmic delta activity over the right temporal region. (B) Evolution to higher amplitude rhythmic delta involving the entire right hemisphere. (C) Abrupt cessation of ictal rhythm.

Neurology.org/N

Neurology | Volume 100, Number 23 | June 6, 2023 1115

VNS implantation (e.g., infection, vagal nerve injury, vocal cord paralysis, bradycardia, voice changes, headache, and paresthesia). He was gradually up-titrated in the VNS settings over a period of 12–16 weeks and was maintained on output current 2.5 mA, signal frequency 30 Hz, pulse width 250 microseconds, on time 30 seconds, off time 5 minutes, and magnet current 2.75 mA with pulse width 500 microseconds and duration 60 seconds; he also continued to receive medical management. The patient achieved good seizure freedom after 9–12 months of follow-up and did not have any clinical seizure reported by himself or family. A follow-up 24-hour ambulatory EEG at 1-year and 2-year intervals showed brief runs of intermittent focal right hemispheric slowing without significant evolution or clinical manifestations.

Discussion

SWS is diagnosed early in neonatal age when patients have port wine facial nevus or when patients present with seizures or headaches and an abnormal brain MRI.¹⁻⁴ It is very rarely seen in later life.⁵ It is believed to be caused by abnormal persistence of embryonic venous plexus near the ectoderm that was destined to form venous drainage of the occipital and parietal region of the brain and facial skin.^{3,4} The low-flow angiomata in SWS are at risk of thrombosis and calcification, which eventually lead to ischemia and gliosis of surrounding nervous tissue and atrophy.^{1,6} These patients can benefit from daily aspirin to prevent thrombosis in low-flow angioma.⁷ Most of the cases are diagnosed before 12 years.⁸

Our patient is unique as he had type III SWS with isolated pial angiomatosis diagnosed in his sixth decade. To our knowledge, there are few cases reported that have been diagnosed at a later age.⁵ Brain MRI without contrast may not show leptomeningeal angioma at times, and contrast imaging is very important in patients suffering from seizures to achieve a good diagnosis.^{2,4,5} Cerebral calcifications can be seen on brain MRI in patients with encephalitis, purulent meningitis, celiac disease, leukemia, and ossifying meningoencephalopathy, and hence, appropriate investigations including CSF analysis and cerebral angiography are advised.^{1,4,6} With this syndrome, 75%-90% of the patients may suffer from epilepsy; diagnosis of type III SWS requires a high index of suspicion.^{1,8,9} The late diagnosis and poor control of seizures may lead to surrounding atrophy and cognitive decline in patients.^{5,9} Patients with ≤ 2 seizures in 6-month duration are considered to have a good seizure control.¹⁰ Patients with seizures which are resistant to ≥ 2 antiseizure medications should be referred to an epileptologist and should be admitted to the epilepsy monitoring unit for better characterization of seizures and medication optimization. When the seizures remain drug-resistant, patients should be offered surgical options and appropriate investigations to assess for surgical candidacy.^{7,9} To this date, several surgical options, such as lobectomy and hemispherectomy, as well as palliative corpus callosotomy, have been used and proven to be beneficial.^{7,9,11} VNS is a palliative option reserved for patients with drug-resistant epilepsy for whom epilepsy

surgery is not feasible. To our knowledge, the use of VNS for the successful management of drug-resistant focal seizures in a patient with SWS has not been reported yet.^{3,4,10}

Acknowledgment

The authors thank Dr. Simon Bekker for helping with imaging review.

Study Funding

No targeted funding reported.

Disclosure

J.P. Mankad reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. J.K. Lavingia serves on the advisory board of LivaNova. Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures.

Publication History

Received by *Neurology* April 15, 2022. Accepted in final form December 20, 2022. Submitted and externally peer reviewed. The handling editor was Associate Editor Roy Strowd III, MD, MEd, MS.

Appendix Authors

Name	Location	Contribution
Jigar Prakashchandra Mankad, MD	Department of Neurology, Health1 Super Specialty Hospitals, Ahmedabad, India	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content
Janki Kiran Lavingia, MD	Department of Neurology, Zydus Hospital, Ahmedabad, India	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; analysis or interpretation of data

References

- Jagtap S, Harsha K, Radhakrishnan A, Srinivas G. A clinician's dilemma: Sturge-Weber syndrome 'without facial nevus'!! Ann Indian Acad Neurol. 2013;16(1):118-120. doi: 10.4103/0972-2327.107725
- Gururaj AK, Sztriha L, Johansen J, Nork M. Sturge-Weber syndrome without facial nevus: a case report and review of the literature. *Acta Paediatr*. 2000;89(6):740-743. doi:10.1111/apa.2000.89.6.740
- Aydin A, Cakmakçi H, Kovanlikaya A, Dirik E. Sturge-Weber syndrome without facial nevus. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2000;22(5):400-402. doi:10.1016/s0887-8994(00)00127-2
- Zanzmera P, Patel T, Shah V. Diagnostic dilemma: Sturge-Weber syndrome, without facial nevus. J Neurosci Rural Pract. 2015;6(1):105-107. doi:10.4103/0976-3147.143215
- Muralidharan V, Failla G, Travali M, Cavallaro TL, Politi MA. Isolated leptomeningeal angiomatosis in the sixth decade of life, an adulthood variant of Sturge Weber syndrome (type iii): role of advanced magnetic resonance imaging and digital subtraction angiography in diagnosis. *BMC Neurol.* 2020;20(1):366. doi:10.1186/ s12883-020-01944-5
- Dilber C, Tasdemir HA, Dagdemir A, Incesu L, Odaci E. Sturge-Weber syndrome involved frontoparietal region without facial nevus. *Pediatr Neurol.* 2002;26(5): 387-390. doi:10.1016/s0887-8994(01)00412-x
- Smegal LF, Sebold AJ, Hammill AM, et al. Multicenter research data of epilepsy management in patients with Sturge-Weber syndrome. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2021;119:3-10. doi:10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2021.02.006
- Pascual-Castroviejo I, Díaz-Gonzalez C, García-Melian RM, Gonzalez-Casado I, Muñoz-Hiraldo E. Sturge-Weber syndrome: study of 40 patients. *Pediatr Neurol*. 1993;9(4):283-288. doi:10.1016/0887-8994(93)90064-j
- Liang C, Liu N, Wu J, et al. Surgery can get favorable outcome in atypical Sturge-Weber syndrome with intractable epilepsy. J Craniofac Surg. 2015;26(2):597-599. doi: 10.1097/scs.00000000001340
- Kaplan EH, Kossoff EH, Bachur CD, et al. Anticonvulsant efficacy in Sturge-Weber syndrome. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2016;58:31-36. doi:10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2015.10.015
- Bye AM, Matheson JM, Mackenzie RA. Epilepsy surgery in Sturge-Weber syndrome. Aust Paediatr J. 1989;25(2):103-105. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1754.1989.tb01428.x

Neurology®

Clinical Reasoning: Drug Resistant Epilepsy in a 61-Year-Old Man With Abnormal MRI Brain Findings and Management With Vagal Nerve Stimulator Jigar Prakashchandra Mankad and Janki Kiran Lavingia Neurology 2023;100;1111-1116 Published Online before print February 15, 2023 DOI 10.1212/WNL.000000000206890

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/100/23/1111.full
References	This article cites 11 articles, 0 of which you can access for free at: http://n.neurology.org/content/100/23/1111.full#ref-list-1
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): All Epilepsy/Seizures http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/all_epilepsy_seizures EEG; see Epilepsy/Seizures http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/eeg_see_epilepsy-seizures Epilepsy surgery http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/epilepsy_surgery_
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures,tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise

This information is current as of February 15, 2023

Neurology ® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2023 American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.

