

Must Hypothalamic Neurosecretory Function Cease for Brain Death Determination? Yes

The UDDA Revision Series

Michael Nair-Collins, PhD

Neurology® 2023;101:134-136. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000207340

Correspondence

Dr. Nair-Collins
michael.nair-collins@
med.fsu.edu

RELATED ARTICLE

Contemporary Issues in Practice, Education, & Research

Must Hypothalamic
Neurosecretory Function
Cease for Brain Death
Determination? No: The
UDDA Revision Series

Page 137

The Uniform Determination of Death Act (UDDA) states that “an individual who has sustained ... irreversible cessation of all functions of the entire brain, including the brainstem, is dead.”¹ Therefore, an individual with preservation of any function of any part of the brain is not dead under the UDDA. There is no argument, and no evidence, that can escape this conclusion. To deny it is to deny logic itself.

Up to 50% of patients who are declared dead by neurologic criteria have some preserved hypothalamic function, as evidenced by the absence of central diabetes insipidus.² Therefore, some brain function continues in some patients who are declared dead by neurologic criteria. These are false-positive declarations of death.

Many efforts have been made to reconcile the preservation of some brain function with the UDDA. All these efforts fail because one cannot reconcile what is logically irreconcilable. The Table summarizes them.

One might consider hypothalamic function to be inconsequential, in the context of devastating brain injury with unresponsiveness, brainstem areflexia, and apnea. Not so. First and foremost, the truth matters. In a troubling social world characterized by lies and disinformation, professionals must hold themselves accountable to telling the truth, especially when it is inconvenient. Second, there is no medical determination more important than death. Societal ability to rely on this determination requires a justified belief that physicians are both competent and trustworthy in this practice. Declaring that an individual meets a legal standard they clearly do not meet undermines the credibility of the medical profession and society’s ability to rely on its determinations.

Third, organ procurement is claimed to adhere to the dead donor rule: Except for living donation, such as of a single kidney, organ donors are dead before organs are removed. The dead donor rule is described as “a centerpiece of the social order’s commitment to respect for persons and human life,”^{11,p.6} where organs will not be removed “even if the person is unconscious, extremely debilitated, or very near death.”^{11,p.6} Trust in the enterprise is assured because this is a bright line that will not be crossed. That bright line is crossed regularly. A patient with some brain function who is otherwise unresponsive, apneic, and brainstem areflexic is “unconscious, extremely debilitated, and very near death”—but not dead under the UDDA. Therefore, organs are removed from still-living patients, causing death. This is a serious violation of public trust.

Regardless of revisions to the UDDA that may come, the UDDA stands now, and it has stood for 40 years. Throughout that time, it has been repeatedly asserted that, so long as guidelines are followed, there are no cases of false positives; meanwhile, up to half have been false positives. The determination of death by neurologic criteria is routinely in error.

From the Florida State University College of Medicine, Tallahassee.

Go to [Neurology.org/N](https://www.neurology.org/N) for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

Glossary

UDDA = Uniform Determination of Death Act.

Table Proposals to Reconcile Neuroendocrine Function With the UDDA and Responses

Proposal	Response
Not every cell in the brain must die.	No one claims every cell in the brain must die.
This is posterior pituitary washout where vasopressin passively leaks from nonviable cells. ^{cf.3}	Vasopressin half-life ≈15–18 min. Passive leakage insensitive to feedback likely to cause oliguria, with polyuria minutes after stores deplete. It is theoretically possible that passive leakage briefly mimics regulated secretion in some cases, but unlikely to explain a 50% reported rate of DI in BD. ³
Vasopressin secretion is an activity, which requires technology to assess, but is not a function, which is assessable at bedside. ⁴	Direct measurements of vasopressin, Na ⁺ , plasma, and urine osmolarity and specific gravity find them within normal range for osmoregulation (in non-DI patients, when reported). ^{cf.3}
Osmoregulation is not a clinical function. Only clinical functions are relevant. ⁴	Osmoregulation is undoubtedly a function.
	Osmoregulation meets World Brain Death Project's definition of "function" as "a stimulus to provoke central processing and efferent response." ^{4,supplement 5, p.20}
	Flies in the face of clinical practice. For example, if correct, liver function tests do not assess liver function.
Osmoregulation is not a clinical function. Only clinical functions are relevant. ⁴	UDDA makes no such distinction. All brain function must cease.
	Relies on antecedent claim that BD is a clinical diagnosis; it is not. Requires imaging and laboratory tests to establish severity and address confounders. Apnea test requires blood gas analysis. Ancillary tests introduce further technology.
	Osmoregulation is clinically apparent through urine output.
Osmoregulation is not a critical function. Only critical functions are relevant. ⁵	UDDA makes no such distinction. All brain function must cease.
	If corneal blink reflex is a critical function, then osmoregulation is.
	If anything is a critical function, then maintaining extracellular milieu is.
Inferior hypophyseal artery is extradural and supplies blood to posterior pituitary, likely explaining the absence of DI. ^{cf.2}	UDDA makes no distinction according to blood supply. If any brain function is preserved, the UDDA is not met.
	Inadequate explanation: Osmoreceptive perikarya located in diencephalon outside pituitary fossa are supplied by intradural superior hypophyseal and hypothalamic arteries. Secondary osmoreceptors in circumventricular areas also do not receive protected blood supply. ⁶
"Brain death" is a clinical syndrome defined by unresponsiveness, cranial nerve areflexia, and apnea. ⁷	This describes the core features of accepted diagnostic tests, not the physiologic criterion or legal standard that the tests allege to identify.
"Determination of death must be made in accordance with accepted medical standards" (UDDA): The precise medical standards are deferred to the medical profession.	"Accepted medical standards" explicitly refers to diagnostic tests, ^{1,p.78} not the physiologic criterion or legal standard that is tested for; the latter is not deferred to medicine.
Perhaps the hypothalamus is not part of the brain. ⁸	The hypothalamus is part of the brain.
Perhaps UDDA authors did not mean to include hypothalamic function; "hypothalamus" is not included in report. ⁹	"All functions of the entire brain" means all functions of the entire brain.
Neuroendocrine function is explicitly described as consistent with BD by the American Academy of Neurology and the World Brain Death Project. ^{4,10}	Preservation of any function of any part of the brain is inconsistent with irreversible cessation of all functions of the entire brain. To deny this is to deny logic itself.

Abbreviations: BD = brain death as defined by the UDDA; DI = central diabetes insipidus; UDDA = Uniform Determination of Death Act.

Study Funding

No targeted funding reported.

Disclosure

The author reports no relevant disclosures. Go to [Neurology.org/N](https://www.neurology.org/N) for full disclosures.

Publication History

Received by *Neurology* September 12, 2022. Accepted in final form March 9, 2023. Submitted and externally peer reviewed. The handling editor was Editor-in-Chief José Merino, MD, MPhil, FAAN.

Appendix Author

Name	Location	Contribution
Michael Nair-Collins, PhD	Florida State University College of Medicine, Tallahassee	drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data

References

1. President's Commission for the Study of Ethical Problems in Medicine and Biomedical and Biobehavioral Research. *Defining Death: A Report on the Medical, Legal and Ethical Issues in the Determination of Death*. U.S. Government Printing Office; 1981.
2. Nair-Collins M, Joffe AR. Hypothalamic function in patients diagnosed as brain dead and its practical consequences. In: Swaab D, Buijs RM, Kreier F, Lucassen PJ, Salehi A, eds. *Handbook of Clinical Neurology Vol. 182 (3rd Series). The Human Hypothalamus: Neuropsychiatric Disorders*. Elsevier; 2021:433-446.
3. Nair-Collins M, Northrup J, Olcese J. Hypothalamic-pituitary function in brain death: a review. *J Intensive Care Med*. 2016;31(1):41-50.
4. Greer DM, Shemie SD, Torrance S, et al. Determination of brain death/death by neurologic criteria. The World Brain Death Project. *JAMA*. 2020;324(11):1078-1097.
5. Bernat JL. The whole-brain concept of death remains optimum public policy. *J Law Med Ethics*. 2006;34(1):35-43.
6. Nair-Collins M, Joffe AR. Frequent preservation of neurologic function in brain death and brainstem death entails false-positive misdiagnosis and cerebral perfusion. *AJOB Neurosci*. 2021;2021:1-14. doi:10.1080/21507740.2021.1973148
7. Wijdicks EF. The case against confirmatory tests for determining brain death in adults. *Neurology*. 2010;75(1):77-83.
8. Lewis A, Bonnie RJ, Pope T. It's time to revise the Uniform Determination of Death Act. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020;172(2):143-144.
9. Lewis A, Bonnie RJ, Pope T, et al. Determination of death by neurologic criteria in the United States: the case for revising the Uniform Determination of Death Act. *J Law Med Ethics*. 2019;47(S4):9-24.
10. Russell JA, Epstein LG, Greer DM, et al. AAN position statement. Brain death, the determination of brain death, and member guidance for brain death accommodation requests. *Neurology*. 2019;92:1-5.
11. Robertson JA. The dead donor rule. *Hastings Cent Rep*. 1999;29(6):6-14.

Neurology® Online CME Program

Earn CME while reading *Neurology*. This program is available to AAN members and to online *Neurology* subscribers. Read the articles marked CME, go to [Neurology.org](https://www.neurology.org), and click on the CME link. The American Academy of Neurology (AAN) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to sponsor continuing medical education for physicians. *Neurology* is planned and produced in accordance with the ACCME Essentials. For more information, contact AAN Member Services at 800-879-1960.

The Neurology® Null Hypothesis Online Collection...

Contributing to a transparent research reporting culture!



The *Neurology* journals have partnered with the Center for Biomedical Research Transparency (CBMRT) to promote and facilitate transparent reporting of biomedical research by ensuring that all biomedical results—including negative and inconclusive results—are accessible to researchers and clinicians in the interests of full transparency and research efficiency.

Neurology's Null Hypothesis Collection is a dedicated online section for well conducted negative, inconclusive, or replication studies. View the collection at: [NPub.org/NullHypothesis](https://www.neurology.org/NPub.org/NullHypothesis)

Neurology[®]

Must Hypothalamic Neurosecretory Function Cease for Brain Death Determination? Yes: The UDDA Revision Series

Michael Nair-Collins

Neurology 2023;101;134-136 Published Online before print July 10, 2023

DOI 10.1212/WNL.0000000000207340

This information is current as of July 10, 2023

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/101/3/134.full
References	This article cites 9 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at: http://n.neurology.org/content/101/3/134.full#ref-list-1
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Brain death http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/brain_death Coma http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/coma
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2023 American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.

